1. Why we need a better understanding of fatigue in chronic pain

Fatigue is a prevalent complaint in people with chronic pain. A study in the general population showed that 64% of the individuals with chronic widespread pain reported persistent fatigue. In patients with chronic pain due to spinal cord injury, 70% reported persistent fatigue. Such comorbid fatigue is likely to be an additional source of disability and suffering when left untreated. Moreover, fatigue might reduce patients’ ability and/or willingness to fully engage in treatments aimed at increasing physical activity, such as graded activity or exposure, possibly lowering effectiveness. As such, a good understanding of fatigue in chronic pain patients is crucial. The aim of this review is to discuss the current knowledge on fatigue in chronic pain, to provide conceptual clarification of fatigue, and to develop a theoretical framework explaining fatigue in the context of chronic pain. Based on this framework we discuss a new research agenda and provide recommendations for clinical practice.

2. What do we currently know about the interplay between chronic pain and fatigue?

A systematic review investigating fatigue in various chronic pain populations showed that nearly all studies found significant associations between self-reported pain and fatigue and that fatigue was more likely to occur if pain was more intense and present for a longer time. Particularly interesting is that in 5 of the 6 prospective studies included, fatigue developed after pain onset, suggesting that chronic pain might cause fatigue. To date, the influence of chronic pain on fatigue has been mainly explained in terms of so-called ego-depletion accounts. For example, it has been hypothesized that the challenges associated with chronic pain lead to depletion of self-regulatory resources, also referred to as self-regulatory fatigue. However, depletion accounts have been criticized, and the precise mechanisms and temporal dynamics of the association between chronic pain and fatigue remain poorly understood.

3. The problem of defining fatigue

Fatigue is a complex multifaceted construct with a diverse phenomenology comprising physiological as well as psychological states, and referring to perception as well as performance. This complexity is reflected in the large diversity in available definitions and the lack of a consensus definition. For instance, fatigue has been called not only as an overwhelming sense of tiredness/exhaustion and lack of energy associated with impaired physical and/or cognitive functioning but also as an aversive perception of growing effort needed to sustain a certain task or action.

In this review, we will not focus on physiological aspects, such as muscle tiredness resulting from physical exertion, or sleepiness, which is the mere consequence of an imbalance in sleep-wake mechanisms. We will rather focus on the motivational component of fatigue. Going back to the seminal work of Thorndike, fatigue is considered an adaptive signal urging disengagement from current behavior of decreasing utility. From this old but still valid perspective, fatigue does not necessarily reflect depleted capacity or resources, but rather a motivational state urging disengagement from effortful behavior of which the costs are currently estimated as exceeding the benefits.

4. A motivational framework on fatigue in the context of chronic pain

In designing our theoretical framework, we integrated motivational perspectives on fatigue and chronic pain. Key in our framework (Fig. 1) is that the balance between (expected) costs and benefits of current goal pursuit signals whether one should maintain the behaviour or not. When the benefits exceed the costs, this results in motivational drive, promoting goal persistence. When the costs exceed the benefits, the signal is perceived as fatigue, urging goal adjustment. We propose that this costs–benefits trade-off is affected by chronic pain through 3 pathways, namely (1) increased effort to maintain goal-directed behaviour, (2) heightened pain (expectations) during goal pursuit, and (3) impaired reward processing associated with goal-directed behaviour.

The first pathway describes what happens if chronic pain hinders goal progress, but one nevertheless wants to uphold goal-directed behaviour at a satisfying level. In that case, the...
In the third pathway, we propose that chronic pain impairs reward processing, which is mirrored, for example, in dysfunctional mesolimbic dopamine systems. Activation of dopaminergic pathways is also involved in subjective states of energy and motivation, whereas failure to do so is associated with fatigue. Although direct evidence is still lacking, a study in healthy volunteers showed that when receiving a monetary reward was accompanied with anticipation of experimental pain during a task, cortical responses to the reward were attenuated. Impaired processing of rewards is likely to reduce the weight of the benefits associated with current goal-directed behaviour, and to induce fatigue.

5. Towards a new research agenda

Our framework generates several possible paths for future research. We describe some examples. First, fundamental studies could systematically examine how pain during task performance may elicit various manifestations of fatigue, including difficulty to initiate or uphold goal-directed behaviour. One hypothesis is that the experience of pain-related task interference initially results in increased effort to uphold task performance, enhanced executive control, and suppression of pain, but gradually induces fatigue because of the increased demand of effort. This may yield adaptive strategies, that is, lowering performance standards, resulting in faster decline in performance. This hypothesis is intriguing, as it brings in a temporal aspect, in which fatigue might moderate the effect of pain on performance. Note that simple self-report measures will be insufficient to measure fatigue as described in this review. We recommend a mixed-method approach, adding behavioural paradigms such as the Effort Expenditure for Rewards Task, and psychophysiological measures such as the amplitude of the contingent negative variation or the error-related negativity (Ne/ERN), which are well established in the fatigue literature and have been shown to reflect motivational aspects of fatigue.

Second, the proposed framework might shed new light on commonly observed problems in chronic pain populations such as cognitive dysfunction and attentional bias. Studies typically look only at mean performance levels, neglecting underlying processes such as shifts in motivation. We recommend studying attention dynamics and fluctuations over task course, typically looking only at mean performance levels, neglecting underlying processes such as shifts in motivation. We recommend studying attention dynamics and fluctuations over task course, typically looking only at mean performance levels, neglecting underlying processes such as shifts in motivation.

Third, our framework suggests ideas for future research about the involvement of neurophysiological mechanisms. Regarding brain circuits, a large processing network is conceivable because the model comprises various aspects (executive functioning, costs–benefits trade-off) known to be processed in many brain regions. One specific region central for executive control is the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, which exerts top–down influence over information processing and plays a role in downregulation of pain. A brain area highly relevant for rewards–costs trade-offs and decision-making is the anterior cingulate cortex, particularly the dorsal part of this area, or the anterior midcingulate cortex. However, the model comprises complex functions and interactions, rendering it likely that the processes are mediated through altered functional connectivity within an extended network.
network of brain regions. On a neurochemical level, the dopaminergic system is a likely candidate to be involved in the processes described in the model. Furthermore, dopamine has been shown to mediate the interaction of reward and pain and to bias behaviour either towards pain endurance or consumption of a reward. Other neurotransmitter systems are likely to be involved, but evidence is missing. Further studies are needed to characterize the neurophysiological processes involved. Particularly, the idea that (chronic) pain may change the utility of current goal pursuit, in terms of rewards–costs trade-off, requires further investigation. Specifically, it should be tested whether pain is an additional cost of action, possibly shifting functional connectivity in the related brain circuits. Based on the available literature, we hypothesize this to be mediated by dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and anterior midcingulate cortex.

6. Potential treatment implications

Given that the hypothesized interactions between chronic pain and fatigue await further empirical examination, specific recommendations for clinical practice may be premature. However, we speculate about possible pathways toward better care for people with chronic pain. For instance, if it is likely that for fatigued chronic pain patients, cognitive-behavioral interventions, such as exposure or graded activity are very effortful, possibly predicting poor treatment response, pain treatment protocols should consider such barriers, for example, by specifically targeting processes underlying fatigue such as the rewards–costs balance of selected (treatment) goals. To make behavior more rewarding despite the effort required to maintain it when in pain, it is important to help patients selecting valued but feasible goals, for example, using motivational interviewing. Furthermore, techniques aimed at improving self-regulation skills, such as the use of action plans or implementation intentions, may help patients to reduce the effort required to pursue goals. It is only recently that such motivational and self-regulation approaches are explicitly added in pain treatment protocols, and their effect on fatigue remains to be investigated.

Conflict of interest statement
The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Article history:
Received 28 February 2017
Received in revised form 15 August 2017
Accepted 21 August 2017
Available online 1 September 2017

References


Thordike EL. Mental fatigue. Psychol Rev 1900;7:466–82.


